



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,124	09/26/2005	Toru Inoue	1089.45436X00	4032
20457	7590	10/26/2010	EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			CHANG, VICTOR S	
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1800			1788	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/26/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/551,124	INOUE ET AL.	
	Examiner VICTOR S. CHANG	Art Unit 1788	

All Participants:(1) VICTOR S. CHANG.**Status of Application:** Final

(3) _____.

(2) Alan Schiavelli.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 October 2010**Time:** 10AM**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Final rejection of record

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Victor S Chang/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1788

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposes to amend the limitations in claim 1 regarding the thickness features of sound absorption layer, so as to clarify the scope of the claimed invention. Specifically, the examiner proposes to clarify the unevenness of the sound absorption layer. However, attorney declined the examiner's proposal..